UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Remona Williams,	Case No.

Plaintiff,

v.

Dynia & Associates, LLC dba Budzik & Dynia, LLC c/o Alfred S. Dynia 4849 N. Milwaukee Avenue, Suite 801 Chicago, IL 60630 **COMPLAINT**

Jury Demand Requested

Defendant.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1- This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d).
- 2- Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 3- Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Illinois.
- 4- Plaintiff incurred an obligation to pay money, the primary purpose of which was for personal, family, or household uses (the õDebtö).
- 5- Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business in the State of Illinois.
- 6- Defendant uses instruments of interstate commerce for its principal purpose of business, which it the collection of debts.
- 7- Defendant regularly attempts to collects, or attempts to collect, debts owed or due another.
- 8- At all times relevant, Defendant owned the Debt or was retained to collect the Debt.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 9- On or around September 11, 2012, Plaintiff filed a voluntary bankruptcy petition that included the Debt.
- 10-On or around January 3, 2013, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter to collect the Debt.
- 11- At the time of this letter, Defendant knew, or should have known, that Plaintiff was in an active bankruptcy proceeding.
- 12- In this letter, Defendant threatened to file a lawsuit for the Debt if Plaintiff did not contact Defendant within 5 days of the letter.
- 13- Defendant to threat overshadowed Plaintiff to dispute the Debt within 30-days, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b).
- 14- Defendant attempt to collect a debt that is part of Plaintiff bankruptcy violates the FDCPA. *See Ross v. RJM Acquisitions Funding, LLC,* 480 F.3d 493 (7th Cir. 2007).
- 15- Defendant damaged Plaintiff.
- 16- Defendant violated the FDCPA.

COUNT I

- 17- Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 18-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692e(2) by sending Plaintiff a collection letter that sought to collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintiff bankruptcy, thereby misrepresenting the legal status of the debt

COUNT II

19-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.

20-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692e(10) by falsely representing to Plaintiff that it could collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintifføs bankruptcy.

COUNT III

- 21-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 22- Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692g(b) by overshadowing Plaintifføs right to dispute within 30 days of the initial communication.

COUNT IV

- 23-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 24- Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692f by unfairly and unconscionably trying to collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintifføs bankruptcy.

JURY DEMAND

25- Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 26- Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
 - a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff

 actual damages, as determined at trial, suffered as a direct and proximate result Defendant

 violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);

 - c. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintifføs reasonable attorneysø fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and

d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Meier LLC

By: /s/ Richard J. Meier Richard J. Meier, Esq. 53 W. Jackson Blvd, Suite 304 Chicago, IL 60604

Tel: 312-242-1849 Fax: 312-242-1841 Richard@meierllc.com Attorney for Plaintiff